

## Original Research Article

# Prevalence of multimorbidity in Thailand: a multilevel analysis of a population-based survey

Nirun Intarut<sup>1\*</sup>, Parinya Srihatrai<sup>2</sup>, Narongsak Chantawang<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Health Systems Science, Mahasarakham University, Mueang, Maha Sarakham, Thailand

<sup>2</sup>Department of Ophthalmology, Mahasarakham University, Mueang, Maha Sarakham, Thailand

<sup>3</sup>School of Applied Thai Traditional Medicine, Mahasarakham University, Mueang, Maha Sarakham, Thailand

**Received:** 18 June 2021

**Revised:** 28 June 2021

**Accepted:** 29 June 2021

### \*Correspondence:

Dr. Nirun Intarut,  
E-mail: nirun.i@msu.ac.th

**Copyright:** © the author(s), publisher and licensee Medip Academy. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License, which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** The objective of the study was to estimate the prevalence of multimorbidity and to analyze the factors related to multimorbidity using multilevel analysis.

**Methods:** The data from the 2017 National Health and Welfare Survey was used. In total, 27,960 families and 65,781 participants were analyzed. Multilevel logistic regression analysis with 2-levels was performed to assess independent risk factors for the multimorbidity.

**Results:** Of 14,353 participants analyzed, 20.4% (95% confidence interval (CI): 20.1, 20.7) of those showed multimorbidity. 59% were females; 74.4% were 56-66 years, 68.7% had primary school level education, and 63.8% were reported being married. Multilevel multiple logistic regression results showed that the prevalence of multimorbidity was higher in females (adjusted OR (AOR): 1.2, 95% CI: 1.1, 1.3), older participants had higher risk of multimorbidity than younger people (p value for trend <0.01), married (AOR: 1.2; 95% CI: 1.0, 1.4), widowed or divorced (AOR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.1, 1.5).

**Conclusions:** A high prevalence of multimorbidity in older patients was found. Tailored disease prevention programs and health care provider are needed to design and service for multimorbidity patients.

**Keywords:** Multimorbidity, Aging, Chronic disease, Multilevel analysis

## INTRODUCTION

The prevalence of multimorbidity is high in both developed and developing countries.<sup>1</sup> Clearly, multimorbidity tends to be more complicated to cure than does single disease.<sup>2</sup> Reports have shown that multimorbidity has associated mortality, disability, a high rate of burden of disease, and complexity of treatment. Chronic diseases most often occurred among old people and also in working adults.<sup>3</sup>

Studies have reported the prevalence of multimorbidity, risk factors related to multimorbidity, epidemiology and

interventions.<sup>4,5</sup> Reviews have shown that the multimorbidity rate was distributed across age group, gender, and socioeconomic status. Therefore, this study aimed to estimate the prevalence of multimorbidity in Thailand, and to analyze the factors related to multimorbidity using multilevel analysis.

## METHODS

### *Design and sampling*

This cross-sectional study used the data from the National Health and Welfare Survey that was carried out in March,

2017. Stratified two-stage sampling was used for selecting participants. Bangkok and provinces were identified as strata and enumeration area (EA) and family was specified to stage one sampling and stage two sampling, respectively. 1,990 EA were randomly sampled from 127,460 EA. Among those, in 2<sup>nd</sup> stage sampling, 27,960 households were randomly sampled in five regions of Thailand (Bangkok, Central, North, Northeast, and South). In total, 27,960 families and 65,781 participants were included in the survey. Participants who lived in a familiar and aged 20 years or older were included in this analysis.

### Variables

Multimorbidity was assessed with the consecutive question; “In the past 1 month, have you experienced chronic disease?”; responses were either “no” or “yes”. If they reported “yes”, they reported a chronic disease in response to the following question; “Have you been diagnosed with a chronic disease by a doctor or health staff?” They could specify up to five chronic diseases such as hypertension, diabetes cancer etc. The consecutive questions were reported only for outpatients. Multimorbidity was defined using the World Health Organization (WHO) definition.<sup>6</sup> If participants who reported two chronic diseases or more, they were classified to multimorbidity. In addition, if they reported one chronic disease, they were classified to chronic disease.

### Wealth index

Wealth index was used a proxy indicator to socioeconomic status (SES).<sup>7,8</sup> We constructed the wealth index by using household durable assets (housing materials, toilet or latrine access, phone ownership, or agricultural land). We presented an index of household wealth by dividing scores into quintile levels. The first quintile means the poorest; second quintile means poor; the third quintile means medium; the fourth quintile means rich and the fifth

quintile means the richest. Calculation of the wealth index was as described the Intarut et al.<sup>9</sup>

Gender (male, female), age in years ( $\leq 25$ , 26-35, 36-45, 46-55, 56-66, 67-75, 76-85,  $\geq 86$ ), education levels (not attended, primary school, secondary school, university), and marital status (single, married, widowed, divorce, married but separated) was used in our analysis.

### Statistical analysis

We calculated the prevalence of multimorbidity and presented it as frequency and percentages. In the univariate analysis, we tested the association between the categorical variables and the prevalence of multimorbidity by using a chi-square test.

We tested the variables at 2 levels; level 1 (individual level) and level 2 (family level). Individual variables was composed of gender, age in years, education levels, marital status, and multimorbidity. For the family level, we tested the socioeconomic (wealth index).

Multilevel logistic regression analysis with 2-levels was performed to assess independent risk factors for the multimorbidity. The intraclass correlations were estimated to describe the variation of the prevalence of multimorbidity across a family's SES. The R program version 3.6.1 and epiDisplay version 3.5.0.1 were used to perform all analyses.<sup>10,11</sup>

## RESULTS

Table 1 shows the distribution of socio-economic factors by chronic disease. Of 14,353 participants, 20.4% (95% CI: 20.1, 20.7) of those showed multimorbidity. 59% were females; 30.8% were 56-66 years, 68.7% of participants were primary schools, and 63.8% reported being married. In addition, in level 1, the 4<sup>th</sup> quintile of wealth index was 23.1%.

**Table 1: Distribution of non-communicable chronic disease.**

Variables	Total 14353	One chronic condition 9643	Multimorbidity 4710	P value
<b>Level 1</b>				
<b>Wealth index</b>				<0.001
Poorest	2390 (16.7)	1651 (17.1)	739 (15.7)	
Poor	2713 (18.9)	1841 (19.1)	872 (18.5)	
Medium	3070 (21.4)	2061 (21.4)	1009 (21.4)	
Rich	3319 (23.1)	2187 (22.7)	1132 (24.0)	
Richest	2861 (19.9)	1903 (19.7)	958 (20.3)	
<b>Level 2</b>				
<b>Gender</b>				<0.001
Male	5880 (41.0)	4107 (42.6)	1773 (37.6)	
Female	8473 (59.0)	5536 (57.4)	2937 (62.4)	
<b>Age (years)</b>				<0.001
$\leq 25$	752 (5.2)	720 (7.5)	32 (0.7)	

Continued.

Variables	Total 14353	One chronic condition 9643	Multimorbidity 4710	P value
26-35	443 (3.1)	406 (4.2)	37 (0.8)	
36-45	1093 (7.6)	927 (9.6)	166 (3.5)	
46-55	2768 (19.3)	2053 (21.3)	715 (15.2)	
56-66	4416 (30.8)	2774 (28.8)	1642 (34.9)	
67-75	2674 (18.6)	1516 (15.7)	1158 (24.6)	
76-85	1784 (12.4)	985 (10.2)	799 (17.0)	
≥86	423 (2.9)	262 (2.7)	161 (3.4)	
<b>Education levels</b>				<0.001
Not attended	1084 (7.6)	678 (7.1)	406 (8.6)	
Primary school	9754 (68.7)	6309 (66.5)	3445 (73.3)	
Secondary school	2067 (14.6)	1573 (16.6)	494 (10.5)	
University	1287 (9.1)	929 (9.8)	358 (7.6)	
<b>Marital status</b>				<0.001
Single	1284 (9.2)	1042 (11.2)	242 (5.2)	
Married	8917 (63.8)	5981 (64.5)	2936 (62.6)	
Widowed divorce	3457 (24.8)	2033 (21.9)	1424 (30.4)	
Married but separated	308 (2.2)	219 (2.4)	89 (1.9)	

### Factors related to multimorbidity

The results from the univariate analysis, Pearson's chi-square test, showed that the prevalence of multimorbidity in females (62.7%) was higher than males (57.7%). We also found an association between age (p value <0.001), education level (p value <0.001), and marital status (p value <0.001). Additionally, wealth index was related to multimorbidity (p value <0.001).

Multilevel multiple logistic regression results reported in Table 2, show that the prevalence of multimorbidity was higher in females (adjusted OR (AOR): 1.2, 95% confidence interval (CI): 1.1, 1.3). Older participants had higher risk of multimorbidity than younger people (p value

for trend <0.01), married (AOR: 1.2; 95% CI: 1.0, 1.4), widowed or divorced (AOR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.1, 1.5). Secondary school level was found to have statistical significance in reducing the prevalence of multimorbidity (AOR: 0.8; 95% CI: 0.7, 0.9). In level 1, we found a statistical significance in all wealth index groups (2<sup>nd</sup> quartile, AOR: 1.2; 95% CI: 1.0, 1.4; 3<sup>rd</sup> quartile, AOR: 1.2; 95% CI: 1.1, 1.4; 4<sup>th</sup> quartile, AOR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.2, 1.5; 5<sup>th</sup> quartile, AOR: 1.3; 95% CI: 1.2, 1.6). We observed the statistical significance of a linear trend of wealth index adjusted odds ratio (p value=0.015). Furthermore, we estimated the intraclass correlation coefficients (ICCs) from the multilevel model. ICCs were used to describe the variation of the multimorbidity prevalence. We found that the ICCs was 8% (95% CI: 5%, 12%) that was occurring at the contextual level (family level).

**Table 2: Multilevel logistic regression analysis of socioeconomic factors related to multimorbidity.**

Factors	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adj. OR (95% CI)
<b>Level 1 (family)</b>		
<b>Wealth index</b>		
Poorest	Reference	Reference
Poor	1.1 (1.0, 1.2)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)
Medium	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	1.2 (1.1, 1.4)
Rich	1.2 (1.0, 1.3)	1.3 (1.2, 1.5)
Richest	1.1 (1.0, 1.3)	1.3 (1.2, 1.6)
<b>Level 2 (individual)</b>		
<b>Gender</b>		
Male	Reference	Reference
Female	1.2 (1.1,1.3)	1.2 (1.1, 1.3)
<b>Age (years)</b>		
≤25	Reference	Reference
26-35	2.3 (1.2, 4.3)	2.2 (1.1, 4.1)
36-45	4.5 (2.6, 7.9)	4.0 (2.2, 7.1)
46-55	8.8 (5.1, 15.0)	7.7 (4.4, 13.4)
56-66	14.9 (8.7, 25.5)	13.1 (7.5, 23.0)

Continued.

Factors	Crude OR (95%CI)	Adj. OR (95% CI)
67-75	19.2 (11.2, 32.9)	17.2 (9.7, 30.2)
76-85	20.4 (11.9, 35.1)	17.7 (10.0, 31.4)
≥86	15.5 (8.8, 27.3)	12.9 (7.1, 23.5)
<b>Education level</b>		
Not attended in a school	Reference	Reference
Primary school	0.9 (0.8, 1.0)	0.9 (0.8, 1.1)
Secondary school	0.5 (0.4, 0.6)	0.8 (0.7, 0.9)
University	0.6 (0.5, 0.7)	0.8 (0.7, 1.0)
<b>Marital status</b>		
Single	Reference	Reference
Married	2.1 (1.8, 2.5)	1.2 (1.0, 1.4)
Widowed or divorced	3.0 (2.6, 3.5)	1.3 (1.1, 1.5)
Separated	1.8 (1.3, 2.3)	1.2 (0.9, 1.6)

Intraclass correlation: 8% (95% CI: 5%, 12%).

## DISCUSSION

Our study showed that the prevalence of multimorbidity was 20.4%. Compared to the epidemiologic characteristics of multimorbidity and a sociodemographic study of 1181024 participants in Singapore, this rate was much lower than that reported (26.2%).<sup>12</sup> Moreover, the prevalence of multimorbidity in our study was lower than those reported from previous studies reviewing the prevalence of multimorbidity among high (prevalence: 37.9%; 95% CI: 32.5, 43.4%), low- and middle-income countries (prevalence: 29.7%; 95% CI: 26.4, 33.0%).<sup>13</sup>

Our results showed that females had a greater risk of multimorbidity than did males. This finding is similar to the study that described the age and gender differences in the prevalence and patterns of multimorbidity in men and women over 65 years in Spain.<sup>14</sup> Furthermore, our results are similar to a study in northern Iran that investigated the impact of gender on multimorbidity, and a study from Chile that determined the prevalence of chronic diseases and related factors of multimorbidity.<sup>15,16</sup>

Older age was greater risk to experiencing multimorbidity than at younger age. Clearly, the evidence demonstrates an association between age and multimorbidity. A cross-sectional study in Scotland explored the distribution of multimorbidity, comorbidity of physical and mental health disorders, in relation to age and socioeconomic deprivation.<sup>17</sup> It was found that the prevalence of multimorbidity increased substantially with age. Also, a cross-sectional study from Taiwan that aimed to estimate age-specific and gender-specific prevalence of multimorbidity reported that the prevalence of multimorbidity varied across age and increased with age.<sup>18</sup> Age is the main factor related to many chronic diseases. This might be explained by, increasing metabolic and molecular damage in older subjects.<sup>19</sup> In addition, people might be exposed to unhealthy behaviors such as tobacco products, physical inactivity, poor nutrition, and excessive alcohol consumption.<sup>20,21</sup> When people had more than one condition of unhealthy behavior, they tended to have a greater risk to the multiple chronic disease.

Marital status is one of the social factors that was related to multiple chronic disease. Our results revealed people who have been married and widowed or divorced have a greater risk to multimorbidity than those who are single. A cross-sectional study showed couples were more likely to show healthy behavior (a non-smoker, meat recommendations for limited fast food, alcohol consumption, and fruit and vegetable intake) than those who are single.<sup>22</sup> Controversial findings were reported in a study analyzing data from a health survey to test the association between marital status and cardiovascular mortality. The findings showed single, never married men and separated/divorced women were at greater risk to cardiovascular mortality than those who were married.<sup>23</sup>

Our analysis showed the rich people were more likely to exhibit multimorbidity than those who were the poorest (first quartile of wealth index). The finding was inconsistent with a study that aimed to test the prevalence and correlates of multimorbidity and related factors from low- and middle-income countries during.<sup>24</sup>

The findings showed that richer subjects were more likely to exhibit multimorbidity than those who were poorer. Another study reported that the poorer were more likely to exhibit multimorbidity than those who were a richer.<sup>25</sup>

The strength of our study is the data from a nationally representative sample of the Thai population; therefore, these results could be representative of the prevalence of multimorbidity in Thailand. However, there might be limitations to this study. Firstly, the data from the survey, were reported by participants themselves. Therefore, a misclassification bias might occur. Additionally, the number of chronic disease statuses was reported as 5-diseases in maximum. Therefore, the results were not represented participants who might have had more than 5-diseases.

## CONCLUSION

In conclusion, our study demonstrated that the prevalence of multimorbidity varied across to wealth index, ages,

gender, and marital status especially in older who have a high proportion of multimorbidity. Tailored disease prevention programs and health care provider are needed to design and service for multimorbidity patients.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Authors would like to thank study participants for their contributions to the study. In addition, they also would like to thank Dr. Adrian Roderick Plant for assistance with manuscript preparation.

*Funding: No funding sources*

*Conflict of interest: None declared*

*Ethical approval: The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee*

## REFERENCES

1. Global Burden of Disease Study 2013 Collaborators. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 301 acute and chronic diseases and injuries in 188 countries, 1990-2013: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Lancet*. 2015;386(9995):743-800.
2. Bao XY, Xie YX, Zhang XX, Peng X, Huang JX, Du QF, Wang PX. The association between multimorbidity and health-related quality of life: a cross-sectional survey among community middle-aged and elderly residents in southern China. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2019;17(1):107.
3. World Health Organization. Preventing chronic diseases: a vital investment: WHO global report: Geneva. 2005. Available at: <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/43314>. Accessed on 26 February 2021.
4. Birke H, Jacobsen R, Jønsson AB, Guassora ADK, Walther M, Saxild T, Laursen JT, Vall-Lamora MHD, Frølich A. A complex intervention for multimorbidity in primary care: A feasibility study. *J Comorb*. 2020;10:2235042X20935312.
5. Heyworth IT, Hazell ML, Linehan MF, Frank TL. How do common chronic conditions affect health-related quality of life? *Br J Gen Pract*. 2009;59(568):353-8.
6. World Health Organization, Multimorbidity: Technical Series on Safer Primary Care. Geneva. 2016.
7. Poirier MJP, Grepin KA, Grignon M. Approaches and Alternatives to the Wealth Index to Measure Socioeconomic Status Using Survey Data: A Critical Interpretive Synthesis. *Social Indicators Research*. 2020;148(1):1-46.
8. Rutstein SO, Kiersten J. The DHS Wealth Index. DHS Comparative Reports No. 6. Calverton, Maryland: ORC Macro. 2004.
9. Intarut N, Pukdeesamai P. Socioeconomic Inequality in Concurrent Tobacco and Alcohol Consumption. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2017;18(7):1913-7.
10. Chongsuvivatwong V. epiDisplay: Epidemiological Data Display Package. 2018. Available at: <https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/epiDisplay/index.html>. Accessed on 24 January 2021.
11. R Core Team. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria. 2019. Available at: <https://www.gbif.org/tool/81287/r-a-language-and-environment-for-statistical-computing>. Accessed on 24 January 2021.
12. Low LL, Kwan YH, Ko MSM, Yeam CT, Lee VSY, Tan WB, Thumboo J. Epidemiologic Characteristics of Multimorbidity and Sociodemographic Factors Associated With Multimorbidity in a Rapidly Aging Asian Country. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2019;2(11):e1915245.
13. Nguyen H, Manolova G, Daskalopoulou C, Vitoratou S, Prince M, Prina AM. Prevalence of multimorbidity in community settings: A systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. *J Comorb*. 2019;9:2235042X19870934.
14. Abad-Díez JM, Calderón-Larrañaga A, Poncel-Falcó A, Poblador-Plou B, Calderón-Meza JM, Sicras-Mainar A, et al. Age and gender differences in the prevalence and patterns of multimorbidity in the older population. *BMC Geriatr*. 2014;14:75.
15. Alimohammadian M, Majidi A, Yaseri M, Ahmadi B, Islami F, Derakhshan N, et al., Multimorbidity as an important issue among women: results of a gender difference investigation in a large population-based cross-sectional study in West Asia. *BMJ Open*. 2017;7(5):e013548.
16. Lay AAR, Nascimento CL, Burgos FC, Huerta ACL, Zeballos RER, Silva VP, et al. Gender Differences between Multimorbidity and All-Cause Mortality among Older Adults. *Curr Gerontol Geriatr Res*. 2020;7816785.
17. Barnett K, Mercer SW, Norbury M, Watt G, Wyke S, Guthrie B. Epidemiology of multimorbidity and implications for health care, research, and medical education: a cross-sectional study. *Lancet*. 2012;380(9836):37-43.
18. Hu RH, Hsiao FY, Chen LJ, Huang PT, Hsu WW. Increasing age- and gender-specific burden and complexity of multimorbidity in Taiwan, 2003-2013: a cross-sectional study based on nationwide claims data. *BMJ Open*. 2019;9(6):e028333.
19. Atella V, Piano Mortari A, Kopinska J, Belotti F, Lapi F, Cricelli C, Fontana L. Trends in age-related disease burden and healthcare utilization. *Aging Cell*. 2019;18(1):e12861.
20. Prasad S, Sung B, Aggarwal BB. Age-associated chronic diseases require age-old medicine: role of chronic inflammation. *Prev Med*. 2012;54:29-37.
21. Stenholm S, Head J, Kivimäki M, Kawachi I, Aalto V, Zins M, et al. Smoking, physical inactivity and obesity as predictors of healthy and disease-free life expectancy between ages 50 and 75: a multicohort study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2016;45(4):1260-70.



22. Schoeppe S, Vandelanotte C, Rebar AL, Hayman M, Duncan MJ, Alley SJ. Do singles or couples live healthier lifestyles? Trends in Queensland between 2005-2014. *PLoS One*. 2018;13(2):e0192584.
23. Molloy GJ, Stamatakis E, Randall G, Hamer M. Marital status, gender and cardiovascular mortality: behavioural, psychological distress and metabolic explanations. *Soc Sci Med*. 2009 Jul;69(2):223-8.
24. Arokiasamy, P., et al., The impact of multimorbidity on adult physical and mental health in low- and middle-income countries: what does the study on global ageing and adult health (SAGE) reveal? *BMC Med*. 2015;13:178.
25. Singer L, Green M, Rowe F, Ben-Shlomo Y, Morrissey K. Social determinants of multimorbidity and multiple functional limitations among the ageing population of England, 2002-2015. *SSM Popul Health*. 2019;8:100413.

**Cite this article as:** Intarut N, Srihatrai P, Chantawang N. Prevalence of multimorbidity in Thailand: a multilevel analysis of a population-based survey. *Int J Community Med Public Health* 2021;8:3750-5.