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#### Abstract

Background: Anaemia is a global health problem. Anaemia is one of most commonly recognized disorders. In view of ever increasing burden of anaemia in India and its detrimental effects on academic performance of students, it is necessary to find out the association of anaemia with BMI of medical and para medical students. This study was undertaken to find out association of anaemia with BMI among medical and para medical students of Rohilkhand Medical College, Bareilly. Methods: This cross sectional study was conducted in 344 medical and para medical students of Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly. A structured schedule was used to collect the information regarding anaemia and BMI. Data was analyzed using SPSS software. Chi square test was applied. Results: Over all prevalence of anaemia was $29.07 \%$ prevalence was higher among female students ( $41.92 \%$ ), among those aged between $25-26$ years ( $75.00 \%$ ) and among Muslims ( $40.54 \%$ ), prevalence of anaemia among normal weight ( $31.92 \%$ ) followed by underweight ( $27.02 \%$ ) than in preobese ( $25.86 \%$ ) while least among obese ( $20.83 \%$ ). Conclusions: Anaemia is prevalent even in medical and para medical students who are well educated and well oriented about nutrition and its ill effect on health. BMI exhibits higher prevalence of anaemia among normal weight, followed by underweight, preobese and obese.
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## INTRODUCTION

Anaemia is characterized by low haemoglobin level or less number of red blood cells. ${ }^{1}$ Anaemia is one of the most common nutritional disorders and it has public health importance in developing countries like India where it is the most widespread nutritional problem. ${ }^{2,3}$

The economic and social consequences of anaemia, as yet un-quantified, are thought to be enormous including a
significant drain on health care, educational resources and labour productivity, reduced physical and mental capacity of large segments of the population. Anaemia results from nutrition related causes and from inflammatory or infectious diseases, worm infestations and from blood loss. Iron deficiency anaemia resulting from inadequate intake and low absorption of dietary iron is the most common form of anaemia in India. ${ }^{4,5}$ Haemoglobin concentration is an important diagnostic indicator for the wellbeing of an individual. In prepubertal period there is
no major difference between sexes in haemoglobin concentration. It is only after the onset of menstruation that the differences emerge. ${ }^{6}$

Many low and middle income countries are facing the double burden of disease. Along with under nutrition, there is a rapid increase in noncommunicable risk factors such as obesity and overweight. ${ }^{7}$ Obesity had been reported to be associated with anaemia in adults. A significant association had been found between serum iron, soluble tranferrin receptors, fat mass and BMI. ${ }^{8,9} \mathrm{~A}$ few studies were done to know the association between anaemia and BMI. The study had shown $8 \%$ female students were anaemic in Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences and also found a negative association between Hb and Body mass index (BMI) whereas study in medical students of Amritsar had shown a positive correlation of haemoglobin with grades of BMI in both boys and girls but none of the correlation was up to significance level of $<0.05 .{ }^{10,11}$ There is paucity of study regarding the association of anaemia with BMI in northern India. Also, there is disparity in the previous study results so the present study is undertaken to know the association between anaemia and BMI among medical and para medical students in both males and females.

## METHODS

A cross sectional study of one academic year duration from 2015 to 2016 was conducted in Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly, U.P. Ethical committee approval was taken before the start of the study and informed consent was obtained from the students taking admission in medical and para-medical courses in Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly.

Sample size is all the students taking admission in medical and para-medical courses in Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital, Bareilly, U.P. India

## Inclusion and exclusion criteria

All students admitted to medical and para medical courses in Rohilkhand Medical College and Hospital were included in the study. Those students who refused to participate were excluded.

For haematological investigations, 2 ml of venous blood from each study respondent was taken in a pre-numbered vial containing EDTA (anticoagulant). All the sample vials were sent to the central laboratory, where the haemoglobin estimation was done by the cyanmethemoglobin method using photoelectric colorimeter.

Any anaemia was defined as $\mathrm{Hb}<12 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dll}$. Severe, moderate, and mild anaemia was defined as Hb below 7 $\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{dl}, 7-9.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ and $10-11.9 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{dl}$ respectively. ${ }^{12}$

Height and weight of the subjects were recorded. Height was taken with the help of measuring tape to the nearest 0.1 cm . The weight was recorded to the nearest 0.5 kg using portable weighing machine and wearing minimum clothing. Body mass index (BMI) was computed by using the standard equation

BMI $=$ weight (in kg)/height ${ }^{2}$ (in meters).
BMI is age and sex independent and a known epidemiological marker of nutritional status of adolescents. International obesity task force (IOTF-2000) has proposed the standards for adult's obesity in Asia and India as follows: A cut off point of $18.5 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ is used to define thinness or acute under nutrition and a BMI of 23 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ indicates over nutrition. A BMI of over $25 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$ refers to obesity. ${ }^{13}$

Table 1: Criteria for nutritional status of students according to BMI.

| BMI $\left(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ | Nutritional status |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{> 2 3} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Over nutrition |
| $\mathbf{1 8 . 5 - 2 3} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Adequate nutrition |
| $<\mathbf{1 8 . 5} \mathbf{~ k g} / \mathbf{m}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | Under nutrition |

It is a simple index for weight for height used to classify underweight, overweight and obesity.

- Underweight < 18.50
- Normal range 18.50-24.99
- Overweight >25.00
- Pre-obese 25.00-29.99
- Obese >30.00

BMI values are age- independent and same for both sexes.

## Statistical analysis

Statistical package for social science (SPSS) version 21.0. Descriptive statistics were used to show the sociodemographic characteristics of the anaemic, like age, sex, religion. Cross tabulations were used to see the association between different attributes.

## RESULTS

About One fifth of students were underweight while nearly one fifth had an increased BMI (Table 2).

A higher proportion of females were found to be underweight while a higher percentage of males were found to be overweight or obese (Table 3).

Nearly $31.92 \%$ of students with a normal BMI were found to be anemic (Table 4).

Table 2: BMI distribution of students.

| BMI | Male | Female | Frequency | X $^{2}$ (P-value) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Underweight | $23(15.76)(31.08)$ | $51(25.76)(68.92)$ | $74(21.51)(100.00)$ |  |
| Normal weight | $71(48.63)(37.77)$ | $117(59.09)(62.23)$ | $188(54.65)(100.00)$ |  |
| Pre-obese | $35(23.97)(60.34)$ | $23(11.62)(39.66)$ | $58(16.87)(100.00)$ | $21.12(0.0000)$ |
| Obese | $17(07.54)(70.83)$ | $07(03.03)(29.17)$ | $24(04.94)(100.00)$ |  |
| Total | $146(100.00)(42.44)$ | $198(100.00)(57.56)$ | $344(100.00)(100.00)$ |  |

Table 3: Relationship of BMI with socio demographic factors in students.

|  | Underweight (\%) | Normal (\%) | Preobese (\%) | Obese (\%) | Total (\%) | $\mathrm{X}^{2}$ (P-value) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Socio demography |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17-18 | $\begin{gathered} 31(41.89) \\ (23.85) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 72 \text { (38.30) } \\ (55.38) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17(29.31) \\ (13.08) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10(41.67) \\ & (07.79) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 130(37.79) \\ & (100.00) \end{aligned}$ | 27.148 (0.027) |
| 19-20 | $\begin{gathered} 29(39.20) \\ (20.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 66(35.11) \\ (46.15) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34(58.62) \\ (23.78) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14(58.33) \\ & (09.79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 143(41.57) \\ & (100.00) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| 21-22 | $\begin{aligned} & 11(14.86) \\ & (24.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29(15.43) \\ (64.44) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 05(08.62) \\ (11.12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \text { (13.08) } \\ (100.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 23-24 | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 09(04.78) \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 09(02.62) \\ (100.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 25-26 | $\begin{gathered} 02(02.70) \\ (25.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 04(02.13) \\ (50.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 02(03.45) \\ (25.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 08(02.32) \\ (100.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| >26 | $\begin{gathered} 01(01.35) \\ (11.11) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 08 \text { (04.25) } \\ (88.89) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 09(02.62) \\ (100.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total | $\begin{gathered} 74(100) \\ (21.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 188(100) \\ (54.65) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 58(100) \\ (16.86) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24(100.00) \\ & (06.98) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 344(100.00) \\ & (100.00) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | $\begin{gathered} 23 \text { (31.08) } \\ (15.75) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 71(37.77) \\ (48.63) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35(60.34) \\ (23.97) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17(70.83) \\ (11.65) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 146 \text { (42.44) } \\ & (100) \end{aligned}$ | 21.122 (0.000) |
| Female | $\begin{aligned} & 51(68.92) \\ & (25.76) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 117 \text { (62.23) } \\ & (59.09) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23(39.66) \\ ((11.62) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 07(29.17) \\ (03.53) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 198(57.56) \\ & (100.00) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 74(100.00) \\ & (21.51) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188(100.00) \\ & (54.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58(100.00) \\ & (16.86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24(100.00) \\ & (06.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 344(100.00) \\ & (100.00) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Religion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hindu | $\begin{gathered} 66 \text { (89.19) } \\ (22.30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 155(82.45) \\ & (52.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 54 \text { (93.10) } \\ & (18.24) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21(87.50) \\ (05.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 296(86.05) \\ (100.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Muslim | $\begin{aligned} & 04(05.41) \\ & (10.81) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 27(14.36) \\ (72.97) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 03(05.17) \\ (08.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 03(12.50) \\ (08.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 37(10.76) \\ & (100.00) \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Christian | $\begin{gathered} 03(04.05) \\ (30.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 06(03.19) \\ (60.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 01(01.73) \\ (10.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10(02.90) \\ (100.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Sikh | $\begin{gathered} 01(01.35) \\ (100.00) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.00) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 00(00.00) \\ & (00.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 00(00.00) \\ (00.000 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 01(00.29) \\ (100.00) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Total | $\begin{aligned} & 74(100.00) \\ & (21.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 188(100.00) \\ & (54.65) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 58(100.00) \\ & (16.86) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24(100.00) \\ & (06.98) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 344(100.00) \\ & (100.00) \end{aligned}$ |  |

Table 4: Relationship of anaemia with BMI in students.

| BMI | Anaemia present (\%) | Anaemia absent (\%) | Total (\%) | X $^{2}$ (P value) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Underweight | $20(20.00)(27.02)$ | $54(22.13)(72.98)$ | $74(21.51)(100.00)$ |  |  |
| Normal weight | $60(60.00)(31.92)$ | $128(52.46)(68.08)$ | $188(54.65)(100.00)$ |  |  |
| Pre-obese | $15(15.00)(25.86)$ | $43(17.62)(74.14)$ | $58(16.86)(100.00)$ | $1.967(0.579)$ |  |
| Obese | $05(05.00)(20.83)$ | $19(07.79)(79.17)$ | $24(06.98)(100.00)$ |  |  |
| Total | $100(100.00)(29.07)$ | $244(100.00)(70.93)$ | $344(100.00)(100.00)$ |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

Anaemia though global, is more of concerned in the developing countries due to high prevalence. Unfortunately it is not restricted to rural and low socio economic status adolescents but shows increase prevalence in developed affluent societies. ${ }^{15}$

In the present study anaemia was found in $29.07 \%$ of students out of which prevalence of anaemia was higher among female ( $41.92 \%$ ) than male ( $11.64 \%$ ) which was statistically significant (0.000). Similarly Gargade et al found prevalence of anaemia ( $29 \%$ ) among medical students of whom more common among females (45\%) than in males $(5 \%) .{ }^{16}$ Pandey et al found prevalence of anaemia $30.20 \%$ among medical students out of which prevalence of anaemia was higher among female medical students ( $47.37 \%$ ) than males ( $18.96 \%$ ). ${ }^{17}$ Bano et al found $32 \%$ prevalence among medical students while prevalence of anaemia among female was $44 \%$ while in males $20 \%{ }^{18}$ Kalyanshetti et al found it to be $25.5 \%{ }^{19}$ Kaur found prevalence of anaemia among undergraduate students was $35 \%$, more prevalent among girls ( $44.8 \%$ )
than the boys ( $17.6 \%$ ) and was statistically significant ( $\mathrm{p}=0.000$ ). ${ }^{20} \mathrm{Pal}$ et al found prevalence of anaemia among adults $57.23 \%$ more prevalent among females ( $66.87 \%$ ) than males $(46.62 \%) .{ }^{21}$ Metha found prevalence of anaemia among students was $70.83 \%$ more prevalent among females $(74.47 \%)$ than males $(35.71 \%) .{ }^{22}$ Debbarma et al found prevalence of anaemia among medical students ( $26.62 \%$ ) more prevalent among females $(50.00 \%)$ than males $(6.67 \%){ }^{23}$ Saxena et al found prevalence of anaemia among medical students was $8 \%$ more prevalent among females ( $17 \%$ ) than males $(00 \%) .{ }^{10}$ Sah et al found higher prevalence of anaemia among females $(11.1 \%)$ as compared to males $(3.1 \%) .{ }^{24}$ Agarwal et al found higher prevalence of anaemia among females $(65.11 \%) .{ }^{25}$ Out of total 198 ( $57.56 \%$ ) were females, prevalence of anaemia among females was $41.92 \%$ and in males $11.64 \%$ which was highly statistically significant. Kalyanshetti et al found prevalence of anaemia among females was $59 \%$ while in contrast Verma et al find prevalence of anaemia among young females $29.32 \%$ and in males it was $19.53 \%$ in 20 29 years age group. ${ }^{19,26}$ Sah et al found prevalence of anaemia among females to be $21.77 \%$. ${ }^{17}$

Table 5: BMI of the students.

|  | Present study <br> $(\%)$ | Lakshmi et al ${ }^{27}$ <br> $(\%)$ | Arifuddin et al ${ }^{28}$ <br> $(\%)$ | Chitra et al $^{29}$ <br> $(\%)$ | Gargade et al $^{16}$ <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Underweight | 21.51 | 20 | 16.04 | 48 | 16.67 |
| Normal weight | 54.65 | 59 | 55.55 | 25.86 | 61.67 |
| Preobese | 16.87 | 10 | 28.39 | 26.14 | 20 |
| Obese | 06.98 | 11 | combined | combined | 1.66 |

## Relation of anaemia with BMI

In the present study, prevalence of anaemia among normal weight ( $31.92 \%$ ) followed by underweight $(27.02 \%)$ in preobese $(25.86 \%)$ while least among obese (20.83\%). Gargade et al found similar finding of higher prevalence of anaemia among normal weight ( $55.2 \%$ ), in underweight ( $27.6 \%$ ), in overweight ( $13.6 \%$ ) in obese (3.4\%). ${ }^{16}$

While Metha found anaemia more prevalent among underweight students ( $63.33 \%$ ) and overweight students $(0.83 \%)$ have less prevalence of anaemia while in normal weight student's prevalence of anaemia was $6.67 \%$. $^{22}$ Pandey et al found prevalence of anaemia among underweight ( $60 \%$ ), normal weight ( $27.5 \%$ ) overweight $(12.5 \%) .{ }^{17}$ Gupta et al found higher prevalence of anaemia among underweight ( $91.4 \%$ ) in normal weight ( $83.6 \%$ ) and in overweight $(73.3 \%) .{ }^{30}$

Pal et al found higher prevalence among underweight males ( $62.5 \%$ ), females ( $80.65 \%$ ), among normal weight males ( $45.98 \%$ ) females ( $62.67 \%$ ) and overweight/obese males $(19.05 \%)$ females $(25.0 \%) .{ }^{21}$

Waseem et al found anaemia in underweight (44.9\%), in normal weight ( $23.67 \%$ ) while in overweight it was $(10 \%) .{ }^{31}$

Sinha et al found prevalence of anaemia among undernourished women ( $76.06 \%$ ) than normal weight ( $75.28 \%$ ) in overweight women ( $66.67 \%$ ) means negative correlation between anaemia and BMI. ${ }^{32}$
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